

**BOOK: DRIFTING BY INTENTION. FOUR EPISTEMIC TRADITIONS FROM WITHIN CONSTRUCTIVE DESIGN RESEARCH.**

**LIBRO: A LA DERIVA POR INTENCIÓN. CUATRO TRADICIONES EPISTÉMICAS DESDE LA INVESTIGACIÓN DEL DISEÑO CONSTRUCTIVO.**

**RESUMEN**

El libro "Drifting by Intention.Four Epistemic Traditions from within Constructive Design Research" de los autores Peter Gall Krogh (Aarhus University, Denmark) y Ilpo Koskinen (University of New South Wales, Australia) puede ser de interés de la comunidad universitaria y de los lectores de A3manos en general. El libro está sujeto a derecho de autor y derechos de la editorial por lo que sólo compartimos el índice de contenidos y los datos de contacto de los autores.

**ABSTRACT**

*The book "Drifting by Intention. Four Epistemic Traditions from within Constructive Design Research" by authors Peter Gall Krogh (Aarhus University, Denmark) and Ilpo Koskinen (University of New South Wales, Australia) may be of interest to the university community and readers of A3manos in general. The book is subject to copyright and publisher rights, so we only share the table of contents and the contact information of the authors.*

El Editor

a3manos@isdi.co.cu

Instituto Superior de Diseño de la Universidad de La Habana

Cuba

*Autor para la correspondencia*

---

Fecha Recibido:

25 / 11 / 2021

---

Fecha Aceptación:

10 / 12 / 2021

---

Fecha Publicación:

03 / 01 / 2022

Design Research Foundations

Peter Gall Krogh  
Ilpo Koskinen

# Drifting by Intention

Four Epistemic Traditions from within  
Constructive Design Research

 Springer

Peter Gall Krogh

Aarhus University Denmark

pkrogh@cc.au.dk

Ilpo Koskinen

University of New South Wales

Australia

**Contents**

<b>1. Drifting</b>	<b>1</b>	5.3.2 Accumulative — An Example	68
1.1 Drifting in Profession and Research.....	4	5.4 What the Typology of Drifting Methods Reveals	69
1.2 Constructive Design Research .....	5	<b>6. Four Epistemic Traditions of Evaluation</b>	<b>73</b>
1.3 Drifting in Design and in Constructive Design Research .	7	6.1 Evaluation in the Experiential Tradition	74
1.4 New Experimentalism as a Lens .....	9	6.2 Evaluation in Methodic Tradition	76
1.5 Explicating Drifting .....	10	6.3 Evaluation in Programmatic Tradition	77
1.6 On Terminology and the Structure of the Book .....	12	6.4 Evaluation in Dialectic Tradition	78
<b>2. Shift to Knowledge</b> .....	<b>15</b>	6.5 Accountability as Drifting Heuristic	80
2.1 Knowledge as Method and as Aesthetic.....	16	<b>7. Crossing the Hermeneutic Gap</b>	<b>83</b>
2.2 Artifacts as Knowledge.....	18	7.1 Crossing the Hermeneutic Gap	84
2.3 Frameworks and Programs: Discourse as Knowledge ...	21	7.1.1 Experiential: The Hermeneutic Gap as Creative Space	85
2.4 Knowledge as Participation: How Users Motivate Drifting	22	7.1.2 Methodic: Making the Gap Transparent	87
2.5 What to Expect From Constructive Design Research: Re-Articulation	25	7.1.3 Programmatic Tradition and the Hermeneutic Gap	89
2.6 Sum Up	27	7.2 From Research to Concept	92
<b>3. Drifting in Four Epistemic Traditions</b> .....	<b>29</b>	<b>8. New Experimentalism</b>	<b>95</b>
3.1 Experiential Tradition and Drifting .....	30	8.1 How Knowledge Depends on Experience	96
3.2 Methodic Tradition and Drifting.....	34	8.2 Against Method: <i>Methods as Achievements</i>	98
3.3 Programmatic Tradition and Drifting .....	36	8.3 Design is Stubborn	99
3.4 Dialectic Tradition and Drifting .....	40	8.4 Discourse is Equally Stubborn	100
3.5 Drifting, Accountability, and Context: The Art of Being Robust.....	44	8.5 Design Practice, Programs and Discourse in Empathic Design	101
<b>4. Design Hypothesis: Knowledge-Relevance Model</b> .....	<b>47</b>	8.6 Experimentation as a Site of Intentional Drifting	104
4.1 Mapping Design Practice in Relation to Knowledge.....	48	<b>9. At the Frontiers of Knowledge</b>	<b>107</b>
4.2 The Knowledge-Relevance (K-R) Model .....	50	9.1 Knowledge-Relevance: Talking to Two Audiences	108
4.3 Design Hypothesis in the Four Epistemic Traditions....	53	9.2 Epistemic Traditions in Their Full Depth	108
4.3.1 Hypothesis in the Experiential Tradition	53	9.3 Drifting in Conceptual Scaffoldings	110
4.3.2 Hypothesis in Methodic Epistemic Tradition	54	9.4 New Experimentalism	112
4.3.3 Hypotheses in Programmatic Epistemic Tradition	55	9.5 Towards Technical Humanism.	114
4.3.4 Hypothesis Emerging in Dialectic	56	9.6 The Limits of Eurocentricism	116
4.4 Hypothesis in the Knowledge-Relevance Model	57	9.7 Constructive Design Research Beyond Europe.....	118
<b>5. Ways of Drifting in Design Experiments</b>	<b>59</b>	9.8 The Future of Design as a Knowledge-Based Discipline	119
5.1 Drifting in Constructive Design Research	60	<b>10. Corpus</b>	<b>123</b>
5.2 Drifting Through Design Experiments: Five Methods	61	10.1 Primary Corpus	125
5.2.1 Accumulative	62	10.2 Expanded Corpus	126
5.2.2 Comparative	63	Literature	129
5.2.3 Expansive	64	Index	139
5.2.4 Serial	65		
5.2.5 Probing	65		
5.3 How the Methods Work Over a Design Process	67		
5.3.1 Comparative — An Example	67		